Assumptions and General Comments about the Matrix

The matrix is a summary of nearly 100,000 records dating from 1988 for onshore RIK contracts and 1994 for offshore contracts. These records are taken from 2014 data that was actually billed by month from the RIK section. In many cases, records were incomplete and assumptions had to be made:

Onshore:

All records and values were compared to the Wyoming Sweet Spot Price as reported for each month in Plattes Oilgram. This oil=s gravity is 39E API. Gravity adjustments were made to match the RIK oil to the spot price via Amoco=s onshore gravity adjustment scale of \$.15 per degree.

In many cases, gravity was not reported to MMS. In these cases, we took an average gravity for the unit or area and applied it to the leases that were absent gravity. If there was no gravity reported for the unit or area, we used the average gravity from all leases in the particular contract.

No additional deductions or allowances for transportation were taken.

Offshore:

All oil taken in kind offshore was categorized as to oil type (LLS, HLS, EI) and compared to the appropriate spot price as reported in Platt=s Oilgram. Gravity adjustments were made using Shell=s offshore adjustment scale found in their posted price bulletins (\$.15 per degree above 45E and below 35E and \$.02 per degree between 35E and 40E).

In some cases, gravity was not reported, or it fell out of a range of 15E to 59.9E. We used a weighted average gravity by area (taken from 1996 Form 2014 data) and applied it to the gravity-absent leases (ex. High Island was 36.6 degrees, etc.).

Transportation allowances were made to equate the spot price to the location where the oil was delivered. We used FERC tariffs where they existed, and this covered the majority of the oil. However there were some delivery points where a tariff was not available, in these cases we used a value of \$.50 to approximate transportation

Pacific RIK leases were not included in this estimate. They may be done separately if needed.

Audit Burden:

We used an estimate of 1.29 hours per lease month for audit burden. This number is based on an estimate of 62 hours per lease for a one-year audit (two sample months at 31 hours per month). This equates to 5.2 hours per month per lease. We assumed that only 25% of the leases would be selling oil at arm=s-length and would thus be the focus of any audit work. Therefore 25% of 5.2 left us with 1.29 hours per lease as a general estimate of audit burden.

The only leases that we had record of being audited are the Rangely, Colorado leases, and the Hartzog Draw, Wyoming, leases. We know that Rangely was a complete value audit, but we do

not know if Hartzog Draw was value and volume or only volume. We were not aware of any audit work offshore.

Collection Risk:

The matrix contains no collectability estimates, although they could easily be added.

Database Format:

This data is in an Access database that can be manipulated if other work is needed. See Mary Turton for specifics.

OMMIAKT OF POTEN	TAL LIABILITY FOR	RIK VALUATION	AUJUSTMEN	15	:	!	j	⊮17/98	
						!	į		
	HEADQUARTERS	CONTRACT	REMAINING	•	BARRELS	BILLED !	ADJUSTED	FOTENTIAL	SURE
CONTRACT	LOCATION	CATES	IN PROGRAM	Slates Covered	"AKEN	AMCIUNT	AMOUNT	LIABILITY	Release
AGE Flefining	San Antonio, TX	ปลก 95-ปป 97	No	Offshore Gulf	4,537,951 98	\$87,159,663.94	\$92,316,270.67	\$5,156 606.73	
Barrett Refining	Shawnee, CK	May \$5-Nov 95	No	Offshore-Gulf	1,855,881.86	\$31,913,010.86	\$33.768,892.72	\$1,855,881.86	
Gary-Barrett Flefining	: Denver, CO	Dec 95-Apr 99	Yes	Offshore-Gulf	7,633,241.16	\$155,817,003.23	\$163,448,320.97	\$7,631,317.74	
Calcasieu Refining	Houston, TX.	Nov 94-Apr 99	Yes	Offshore-Guif	9,316,975.22	\$162,655,809.59	\$183,526,919.34	\$20,871,109.75	,
Canal Refining	Tulsa, OK	Feb 95-May 97	No	Offshore-Guif	443,361.74	\$8,248,037.81	\$9,065,137,14	\$817,099.33	Y
Sary-Milliams Refining	Denver, CO	Nov 94-Apr 99	Yes	¡Offshore-Gu f	6,336,588.65	\$106,487,337.44	\$121,556,482.25	\$15,069,144.81	l :
Giant Industries	Scoltsdale, 4Z	Nov 94-Apr 99	Yes	Offshore-Gu f	9,748,995.31	\$179,790,757.41	\$188,977,778.71	\$9,187,021.30	
Gold Line Refining	Houston, 12	Feb 95-Jun 97	. No	Offstrore-Gulf	5,327,841.11	\$103,161,883.04	\$108,110,917.91	\$4,949,034.87	_
LLSE	New Orleans, LA	Nov 94 Jul 96	No	Offstiore-Guif	4,935,856 74	\$88,309,009,69	\$93,922,065.26	\$5,613,055.57	
Placid Refining	Port Allen, LA	Nov 94 Apr 99	Yes	Offshore Gu'f	9,824,698 37	\$174,641,151.77	\$191,817,103.29	B17,175,951.52	!
	 - 	•		Total Offshore Gulf	59,961,396.14	\$1,098,183,664.78	\$1,186,509,888.26	!\$88,326,223.48	j
U.S. OI and Flefining '	El Segundo CA	Aug 95-Apr 99	Yes	Offshore-Pacific	, 17,218,629.55	\$214,341,344.30			
Total Offshore	: 1	1	. 6		59,961,395.14	\$1,098,183,664.78	\$1,186,509,888.26	\$88,326,223.48	
Big West Oil Compan /	North Sall Lake, UT	May 67-Jun 98	' No	i 9-NC1,25-UT 26-W Y 	6,663,384.80	\$1 17 935,461.98	\$125,896,672.64	\$7,961,210.66	i
Gary-Williams Refining	Denver, CO	Jun 87 - Sep 97	. No	49-V/Y,2-MT	3,947,237.09	\$58 262,282.87	\$67,962,366.67	\$9,700,083.80	
Sindair Oil	Salt Lake City, UT	May #7-Mar 97	: No	1-CO,9-MT,77-ND, 1-UT,239-WY	17,828,617.55	\$311 468,700.22	\$324,337,614.79	\$12,868,906.57	
Wyoming Refning	Denver, CO	May #7-Jun 97	, No	17-CO,22-MT,3-ND 27-Y/Y	13,433,568.52	\$233,648,549,18	\$244,318,587 28	\$10,670,039.10	
Total Onshore	: !		0		41,872,807.96	\$ 721,315,001. 2 5	\$762,515,241.39	\$41,200,240.14	
		1	:		101,834,204,10	\$1,819,498,666. 03	\$1 949,0.25,129 65	\$129,526,463.62	-

The methodology used for this information is not the same as negotilated unler the new contracts		1
This information supersedes the earlier \$140 million estimate (duplic ate records removed) and		1
the \$121 million. This information includes data through sales month 3/98. Hartzog Draw has		1
been included on the Sinclair contract (see assumptions). Average price was used for Feb and March 98 data.	i.	١

.

.

AVERAGE INCREASE PER BARREL

\$1.14

\$1.00 \$2.24

\$1.84

\$2.38 \$0.94

\$0.93

\$1.14

\$1.75

\$1.47

\$1.19

\$2.46

\$0.72

\$0.79

\$0.98